Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/041,127	HOGSTROM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal Brief</u>		
(1) <u>Satish S. Rampuria</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Betty Formby [36,536]</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 May 2006	Time: <u>3:27 PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: No.		
Claims discussed: 11, 18, 21 and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: No.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner called to have 101 issues corrected for claims 11, 18, 21 and 22 the attorney of the record, Ms. Formby, granted to make the suggested changes. For details please see the attached Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Satur S. Remouris		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)